arXiv:1602.04300v2 [cs.SC] 20 Jul 2016

ON COMPUTING KRULL DIMENSION OF RESIDUE CLASS POLYNOMIAL RINGS OVER INTEGRAL DOMAINS USING GRÖBNER BASES

MARIA FRANCIS AND AMBEDKAR DUKKIPATI

Abstract. Given an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where A is a Noetherian integral domain, we propose an approach to compute the Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, when the residue class polynomial ring is a free A-module. When A is a field, the Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has several equivalent algorithmic definitions by which it can be computed. But this is not true in the case of arbitrary Noetherian rings. For a Noetherian integral domain, A we introduce the notion of combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ and give a Gröbner basis method to compute it for residue class polynomial rings that have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering. For such A-algebras, we derive a relation between Krull dimension and combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. An immediate application of this relation is that it gives a uniform method, the first of its kind, to compute the dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ without having to consider individual properties of the ideal. For A-algebras that have a free A-module representation w.r.t. degree compatible monomial orderings, we introduce the concepts of Hilbert function, Hilbert series and Hilbert polynomials and show that Gröbner basis methods can be used to compute these quantities. We then proceed to show that the combinatorial dimension of such A-algebras is equal to the degree of the Hilbert polynomial. This enables us to extend the relation between Krull dimension and combinatorial dimension to A-algebras with a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering as well.

1. Introduction

One of the fundamental problems in computational ideal theory is determining the dimension of an ideal, i.e. the Krull dimension of the $\Bbbk$-algebra, $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. The dimension of an affine variety associated with an ideal, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is the Krull dimension of the affine $\Bbbk$-algebra, $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, for an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$. Since the definition of Krull dimension does not lead to an algorithmic method to compute it, various alternate equivalent definitions have been proposed. The Krull dimension of an affine $\Bbbk$-algebra is equal to its transcendence degree, the degree of the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathfrak{a}$ and the largest number of elements among the maximal set of indeterminates independent mod $\mathfrak{a}$ (called the combinatorial dimension of $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$) (Kreuzer & Robbiano, 2005). Gröbner basis based algorithms have been proposed to compute the degree of the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathfrak{a}$ and the combinatorial dimension of $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ (Mora & Möller, 1983; Kredel & Weispfenning, 1988), thus providing an algorithmic framework for determining the Krull dimension of the affine variety associated with $\mathfrak{a}$. This paper studies the question of whether one can give Gröbner basis methods to compute the Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$,

where A is a Noetherian integral domain, given that it has a free A-module representation w.r.t. some monomial order.

For any Noetherian commutative ring, A, a necessary and sufficient condition for a finitely generated A-module, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ to have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a monomial order has been studied in (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014). Here, we show that this characterization can be extended to $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ that need not be finitely generated as an A-module.

Given an integral domain, A and an A-algebra with a free A-module representation w.r.t. some monomial order, we study alternate algorithmic definitions for Krull dimension. We first extend the concept of combinatorial dimension to A-algebras. For an A-algebra with a free A-module representation w.r.t a lexicographic ordering, we give a Gröbner basis algorithm for computing its combinatorial dimension. In affine $\Bbbk$-algebras, the combinatorial dimension is equal to the Krull dimension. We derive a relation between Krull dimension and combinatorial dimension for A-algebras that have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a lexicographic order. We also show that the concepts of Hilbert functions, Hilbert series and Hilbert polynomial can be extended to A-algebras that have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering. We also give a Gröbner basis algorithm to compute these quantities. For degree compatible orderings, we show that the combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is equal to the degree of the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathfrak{a}$ and therefore we have a Gröbner basis algorithm to compute the combinatorial dimension. We also show how this can used to derive a relation between the Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ and the degree of the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathfrak{a}$. The concepts of combinatorial dimension and Hilbert polynomial are important because they give us a uniform method, independent of the ideal, to determine the Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ that has a free A-module representation w.r.t. either a lexicographic or a degree compatible monomial ordering. More importantly, these concepts allow for an algorithmic interpretation of the algebraic concept of Krull dimension for certain A-algebras.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the notations used in the paper. In Section 3, we extend the necessary and sufficient condition for the quotient ring $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, where A is a Noetherian commutative ring, to have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a monomial order to the infinite case. After this section, the paper restricts its study to residue class polynomial rings over Noetherian integral domains. In Section 4, we define combinatorial dimension for A-algebras, where A is a Noetherian integral domain. In Section 4.2, we give a Gröbner basis method to compute the combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ that has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering. For such A-algebras, we derive a relation between combinatorial dimension and Krull dimension in Section 5. In Section 5.2, we illustrate with examples how this relation gives an algorithmic method to determine the Krull dimension. We define Hilbert function, Hilbert series and Hilbert polynomial for A-algebras that have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial order in Section 6. We also give a Gröbner basis algorithm to compute these quantities. We then show in Section 6.2 that the

combinatorial dimension of A-algebras with a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial order is equal to the degree of the Hilbert polynomial. This enables us to give a relation between the degree of the Hilbert polynomial and the Krull dimension of the corresponding residue class polynomial ring in Section 6.3.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, $\Bbbk$ denotes a field, $\mathbb{Z}$ the ring of integers and $\mathbb{N}$ the set of positive integers including zero. We use A to denote a Noetherian commutative ring. From Section 4 onwards, A is restricted to Noetherian integral domains. A polynomial ring in indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ over A is denoted as $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. At times, we represent the indeterminates collectively as a set, X and the corresponding polynomial ring as $A[X]$. We represent a monomial in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ as x^α where $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. The monoid isomorphism between the set of all monomials in indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ allows us to denote the set of all monomials as $\mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. A polynomial, f in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ with coefficients from A is given by

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_f} a_\alpha x^\alpha \ ,$$

where $\Lambda_f \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ is a finite set and $a_\alpha \in A$. We denote all the monomials of a polynomial f as $\mathrm{Mon}(f)$. We assume that there is a monomial order $\prec$ on the monomials in the indeterminates $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. With respect to this monomial order, we have the leading monomial ($\mathrm{lm}_\prec$), leading coefficient ($\mathrm{lc}_\prec$), leading term ($\mathrm{lt}_\prec$) and multidegree ($\mathrm{multideg}_\prec$) of a polynomial $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where $\mathrm{multideg}_\prec(f) = \max_\prec\{\alpha \in \Lambda_f\}$ and $\mathrm{lt}_\prec(f) = \mathrm{lc}_\prec(f)\mathrm{lm}_\prec(f)$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. In certain scenarios, we also consider another concept of degree of a polynomial which we will denote as $\deg(f)$. The degree of a monomial, x^α, $\deg(x^\alpha)$ is the sum of its exponents. The degree of a polynomial, f is the maximum degree of the monomials in f, i.e.

$$\deg(f) = \max\{\deg(x^\alpha) : x^\alpha \in \mathrm{Mon}(f)\}.$$

A degree compatible monomial ordering, $\prec$ is a monomial ordering on $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that two monomials $x^\alpha, x^{\alpha'}$ with $x^\alpha \prec x^{\alpha'}$ satisfy $\deg(x^\alpha) \leq \deg(x^{\alpha'})$. For a degree compatible monomial ordering, the leading monomial will be a monomial with maximum degree. The leading term ideal (or initial ideal) of a set $S \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, is $\langle \mathrm{lt}_\prec(S)\rangle = \langle\{\mathrm{lt}_\prec(f) \mid f \in S\}\rangle$. When there is no confusion regarding which monomial order to consider we omit the monomial order subscript $\prec$ from the notations. For a free A-module, M, the minimum cardinality of a basis of A is called its free rank and is denoted by $\mathrm{FreeRank}_A(M)$.

3. Characterization of a Free Residue Class Polynomial Ring

Consider an ideal, $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and let $G = \{g_i : i = 1, \ldots, t\}$ be its Gröbner basis. For each monomial, x^α, let $J_{x^\alpha} = \{i : \mathrm{lm}(g_i) \mid x^\alpha, g_i \in G\}$ and $I_{J_{x^\alpha}} = \langle\{\mathrm{lc}(g_i) : i \in J_{x^\alpha}\}\rangle$. We refer to $I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ as the leading coefficient ideal w.r.t. G. Let $C_{J_{x^\alpha}}$ represent a set of coset representatives of the equivalence classes in $A/I_{J_{x^\alpha}}$. We use the same definitions as given in (Adams & Loustaunau, 1994). Given a

polynomial, $f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, let $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i x^{\alpha_i} \bmod \langle G \rangle$, where $a_i \in A, i = 1, \ldots, m$. If $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\langle G \rangle$ is a finitely generated A-module of size m, then corresponding to the coset representatives, $C_{J_{x^{\alpha_1}}}, \ldots, C_{J_{x^{\alpha_m}}}$, there exists an A-module isomorphism,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\langle G \rangle &\longrightarrow A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_1}}} \times \cdots \times A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_m}}} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \langle G \rangle &\longmapsto (c_1 + I_{J_{x^{\alpha_1}}}, \cdots, c_m + I_{J_{x^{\alpha_m}}}), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $c_i = a_i \bmod I_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$ and $c_i \in C_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}}$.

We refer to $A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_1}}} \times \cdots \times A/I_{J_{x^{\alpha_m}}}$ as the A-module representation of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. G. If $I_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}} = \{0\}$, we have $C_{J_{x^{\alpha_i}}} = A$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$. This implies $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \cong A^m$, i.e. $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has an A-module basis and it is free. We say that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. G. If the A-module is infinitely generated, we say that it has a free A-module representation w.r.t. G if $I_{J_{x^{\alpha}}} = \{0\}$ for all $x^{\alpha} \notin \langle \mathrm{lm}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ and $I_{J_{x^{\alpha}}} = \{1\}$ for all $x^{\alpha} \in \langle \mathrm{lm}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$.

To determine if $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. G, we need the notion of 'short reduced Gröbner bases' (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014).

Definition 1. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal. A reduced Gröbner basis (as defined in (Pauer, 2007)), G of $\mathfrak{a}$ is called a short reduced Gröbner basis if for each $x^{\alpha} \in \mathrm{lm}(G)$, the length of the generating set of the leading coefficient ideal of x^{α}, $I_{J_{x^{\alpha}}}$ in Equation (1), is minimal.

Given a Gröbner basis, G of an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, a necessary and sufficient condition for a finitely generated A-module, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ to have a free A-module representation w.r.t. G is given in (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014). One can easily extend this to residue class polynomial rings that are not finitely generated as shown below.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a non-zero ideal and let G be a short reduced Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}$. All the leading coefficient ideals associated with G are either trivial or the entire ring A, if and only if G is monic.*

Proof. The proof is along the lines of (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014, Lemma 3.10). □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a non-zero ideal and let G be a short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. If $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. G, then G is monic.*

Proof. By definition, if $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. G then $I_{J_{x^{\alpha}}} = \{0\}$ for all $x^{\alpha} \notin \langle \mathrm{lm}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$ and $I_{J_{x^{\alpha}}} = \{1\}$ for all $x^{\alpha} \in \langle \mathrm{lm}(\mathfrak{a}) \rangle$. That is, all the leading coefficient ideals associated with G are either trivial or the entire ring, A. Therefore by Lemma 3.1, G is monic. □

The sufficient condition is subsumed by (Francis & Dukkipati, 2014, Theorem 3.8). Throughout this paper, we will assume that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a Gröbner basis or equivalently, a monomial order.

4. Combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$

In the rest of the paper we assume that A is a Noetherian integral domain. The Krull dimension of a ring is defined as the supremum of the lengths of all the chains of prime ideals in it. There are many alternate algorithmic definitions for the dimension of an affine $\Bbbk$-algebra. All of them can be shown to be equivalent. On the other hand, for A-algebras these definitions are either not equivalent or not valid.

We define combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, denoted by $\mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, n]/\mathfrak{a})$, in a manner analogous to the definition of combinatorial dimension of $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, n]/\mathfrak{a}$ (Kreuzer & Robbiano, 2005).

Definition 2. Given a Noetherian integral domain A, let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal. Let $X \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a set of indeterminates. The set X is said to be independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ or an independent set of indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ if $\mathfrak{a} \cap A[X] = \{0\}$. The set X is called a maximal independent set modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ if X is independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and there is no set $Y \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ with $X \subsetneq Y$. The largest number of elements of a maximal independent set of indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ is called the combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, denoted as $\mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$.

4.1. **Some properties of combinatorial dimension.** The Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, is the maximal Krull dimension of an isolated prime ideal associated with $\mathfrak{a}$. Below we show that this result holds for combinatorial dimension as well.

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a Noetherian integral domain and $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Then* $\mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$ *is the maximum of* $\mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p})$*, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is an isolated prime ideal associated with $\mathfrak{a}$.*

Proof. We will denote $\mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$ as d. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be an isolated prime ideal associated with $\mathfrak{a}$ and $S \subseteq X$ denote the maximal set of indeterminates that are independent modulo $\mathfrak{p}$. They are independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and therefore, $d \geq |S|$. Conversely, let $S \subseteq X$ be a maximal independent set of indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $|S| = d$. Then $M = A[S] \setminus \{0\}$ is multiplicatively closed and disjoint from $\mathfrak{a}$. There exists a prime ideal, $\mathfrak{P}$, that contains $\mathfrak{a}$ and does not meet M. Let $\mathfrak{p}' \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ be the isolated prime ideal associated with $\mathfrak{a}$. S is independent modulo $\mathfrak{p}'$. This implies, $\mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}') \geq d$. □

For a subset of indeterminates, S, the set $\overline{S}$ represents the set of residue classes of S modulo the ideal, $\mathfrak{a}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Given a Noetherian integral domain A, let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a prime ideal. Then, all maximal set of indeterminates independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ have the same cardinality.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is a prime ideal, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is an integral domain. Let $\mathrm{Quot}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$ represent the quotient field of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $S \subseteq X$ be a set of indeterminates. S is independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ if and only if $\overline{S}$ is algebraically

independent in $\mathrm{Quot}(A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$ over A. Assume that there are maximal independent sets modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ of different cardinalities. Let the two sets that are maximal independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ be $S\cup\{a\}$ and $S\cup\{b_1,b_2\}$. This implies $S\cup\{a,b_1\}$ and $S\cup\{a,b_2\}$ are dependent sets of indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. Therefore, we have $\overline{b_1}$ is algebraic over $\mathrm{Quot}(A[\overline{S}])(\overline{a})$ and $\overline{a}$ is algebraic over $\mathrm{Quot}(A[\overline{S}])(\overline{b_2})$. Therefore, $\overline{b_1}$ is algebraic over $\mathrm{Quot}(A[\overline{S}])(\overline{b_2})$, which is a contradiction to the independence of $S\cup\{b_1,b_2\}$ modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. □

4.2. **Gröbner basis method for computing combinatorial dimension for lexicographic orderings.** We extend the concept of strongly independent indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ introduced in (Kredel & Weispfenning, 1988) for ideals in $\Bbbk[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$, to polynomial rings over A.

Definition 3. Let $S\subseteq X$ be a set of indeterminates and $\prec$ a monomial order in $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. Then, $A[S/(X\setminus S)]$ denotes the following set,

$$A[S/(X\setminus S)]=\{f\in A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]:0\neq f \text{ and } \mathrm{lt}(f)\in A[S]\}.$$

We say that S is strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ if $A[S/(X\setminus S)]\cap\mathfrak{a}=\{0\}$.

Clearly, if S is strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$, then it is independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. But the converse is not true.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{a}\subseteq A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ be a proper ideal and $\prec$ be a monomial order in $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. Let $S\subseteq X$ be a set of indeterminates.*

(i) If S is strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$, then there exists an isolated prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ associated with $\mathfrak{a}$ such that S is also strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ w.r.t. $\prec$.

(ii) Let $U=\{S\subseteq X: S \text{ is strongly independent modulo } \mathfrak{a} \text{ w.r.t. } \prec\}$ and $U'=\{S\subseteq X: \text{there exists an isolated prime ideal } \mathfrak{p} \text{ associated with } \mathfrak{a} \text{ such that } S \text{ is strongly independent modulo } \mathfrak{p} \text{ w.r.t. } \prec\}$, then $U=U'$.

Proof. (i) Let S be strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $M=A[S/(X\setminus S)]\setminus\{0\}$ be a multiplicatively closed subset of $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ disjoint to $\mathfrak{a}$. Then there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}\subseteq\mathfrak{P}$ and disjoint from M. Let $\mathfrak{p}'\subseteq\mathfrak{P}$ be an isolated prime ideal associated with $\mathfrak{a}$. Then S is strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{p}'$. Also, if S is maximal strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$, then for any $S\subseteq S'\subseteq X$, where S' is strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{p}'$, S' is strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$, so $S'=S$.

(ii) Clearly, $U'\subseteq U$ and by (i), $U\subseteq U'$. □

We recall the concept of inessential set of indeterminates from (Kredel & Weispfenning, 1988). Let $S\subseteq X$ be a set of indeterminates, $f\in A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ be a polynomial and $\prec$ be a monomial order in $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. We denote f^S as the polynomial resulting from f by substituting 1 for all indeterminates from S in f. We say that S is inessential for f if for all terms t occurring in f, $t^S\prec\mathrm{lt}(f)^S$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $S\subseteq X$, $\mathfrak{a}$ be a prime ideal in $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ and let $\prec$ a monomial order such that $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. $\prec$. Assume*

that S is independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and that for any $x \in X \setminus S$, there exists a polynomial $f_x \in A[S \cup \{x\}/X \setminus (S \cup \{x\})] \cap \mathfrak{a}$ such that S is inessential for f_x. Then S is maximal independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and $|S| = \operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof. For $x \in X \setminus S$, let d_x be the degree of $\operatorname{lt}(f_x)$ in x. Then $d_x \gneq 0$, for otherwise $\operatorname{lt}({f_x}^S) = 1$ and so $t^S = 1$ for all terms t occurring in f_x, which implies $f_x \in A[S]$ which contradicts the independence of S modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. Let T be the set of all $t \in \operatorname{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ such that for every $x \in X \setminus S$, the degree of x in t is $\lneq d_x$.

Claim 1. *For every $t \in \operatorname{Mon}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \setminus T$, there exists $0 \neq p, p_1, \ldots, p_m \in A[S]$, $t_1, \ldots, t_m \in T$ and $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $pt = p_1 t_1 + \cdots + p_m t_m + f$.*

Proof of the claim: Assume the contradiction, that the claim fails for some $t \in \operatorname{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]) \setminus T$ and that t is $\prec$-minimal among the monomials with this property. Choose $x \in X \setminus S$ such that the degree d of t in $x \geq d_x$ and let $u = tx^{-d} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$. f_x can be written as $px^{d_x} - (p_1 t_1 + \cdots + p_m t_m)$ with $0 \neq p, p_1, \ldots, p_m \in A[S]$, $t_i \in \operatorname{Mon}(A[X \setminus S])$, $t_i \prec x^{d_x}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. By multiplying with $x^{d-d_x}u$, we get

$$pt = x^{d-d_x} u f_x - (p_1 t_1 x^{d-d_x} u + \cdots + p_m t_m x^{d-d_x} u).$$

We have $x^{d-d_x} u f_x \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $t_i x^{d-d_x} u \prec x^{d_x} x^{d-d_x} u = t$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. (Note that here we have two comparisons, one is the less than comparison, $<$, based on the degrees of a variable in the monomials and the other is the comparison based on the monomial order, $\prec$.) Since t is $\prec$-minimal among the monomials that violate the claim, the claim is valid for all $t_i x^{d-d_x} u, 1 \leq i \leq m$ and therefore Claim 1 is valid for t as well, a contradiction.

Let $\operatorname{Quot}(A)$ represent the quotient field of the integral domain, A. Since $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. $\prec$ we have $A \cap \mathfrak{a} = \{0\}$. This implies, $\operatorname{Quot}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Quot}(A)(\overline{S}) \subsetneq \operatorname{Quot}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$. By Claim 1, $\operatorname{Quot}(A)[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is finitely generated as a $\operatorname{Quot}(A)(\overline{S})$-vector space by $\overline{T}$. Each $\overline{x}$, $x \in X \setminus S$ is algebraic over $\operatorname{Quot}(A)(\overline{S})$. Since $A \cap \mathfrak{a} = \{0\}$, this implies that for each $x \in X \setminus S$ we can determine a $f \in A[S \cup \{x\}] \cap \mathfrak{a}$. Therefore, S is maximal independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and since $\mathfrak{a}$ is a prime ideal, $\operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) = |S|$. □

Definition 4. [Left Basic Set (LBS)] Let $\prec$ be a monomial order in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Given the set of indeterminates X, we define $S_k \subseteq X, 0 \leq k \leq n$ inductively as,

$$S_0 = \phi$$

$$S_{k+1} = \begin{cases} S_k \cup \{x_k\} & \text{if } S_k \cup \{x_k\} \text{ is strongly independent} \\ & \text{modulo } \mathfrak{a} \text{ w.r.t. } \prec \\ S_k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The set S_n is called the left basic set of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$.

S_n is maximal strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$. For lexicographic orderings, as a consequence of Theorem 4.4 we have the following result for prime ideals.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a prime ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $\prec$ be a lexicographic ordering such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. $\prec$. If S is the left basic set of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$, then S is maximal independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and so $|S| = \operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$.*

Proof. Since S is maximal strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$, for every $x \in X \setminus S$, there exists a polynomial $f_x \in A[S \cup \{x\}/X \setminus (S \cup \{x\})] \cap \mathfrak{a}$. f_x contains no $y \in X$ such that $x \prec y$ since $\prec$ is a lexicographic order. Also for every monomial $t \in \operatorname{Mon}(f_x)$, the degree of t in x is less than or equal to the degree of the leading term of f_x in x. Therefore, $\operatorname{lt}(f_x)^S \geq t^S$ for all terms in f_x. Therefore, S is inessential for f_x and we can apply Theorem 4.4 and $|S| = \operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$. □

The idea can be extended to other proper ideals in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a proper ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $\prec$ be a lexicographic monomial order such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. $\prec$. Let*

$$d = \max\{|S| : S \subseteq X, S \text{ is maximal strongly independent modulo } \mathfrak{a} \text{ w.r.t. } \prec\}.$$

Then, $d = \operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof. Since each S that is maximal strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ is independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ we have $\operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) \geq d$. Pick an isolated prime ideal, $\mathfrak{p}$, associated with $\mathfrak{a}$ such that

$$\operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}).$$

Let S be the LBS of $\mathfrak{p}$. Then,

$$|S| = \operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$$

and S is strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ and therefore $\mathfrak{a}$ and so $d \geq \operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$. □

Since strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ depends on the leading terms of an ideal, we explore its connections with Gröbner basis.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\prec$ be a monomial ordering in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $S \subseteq X$ be a set of indeterminates. Let G be a Gröbner basis of an ideal, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ w.r.t. $\prec$. Then S is strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$ if and only if $A[S] \cap \operatorname{lt}(G) = \phi$.*

Proof. If for some $g \in G$, $\operatorname{lt}(g) \in A[S]$, then $g \in A[S/(X \setminus S)] \cap \mathfrak{a}$ and therefore S is not strongly independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. Conversely, assume there exists $f \in A[S/(X \setminus S)] \cap \mathfrak{a}$, then there exists atleast one $g \in G$ such that $\operatorname{lm}(g) \mid \operatorname{lm}(f)$. Since $\operatorname{lt}(f) \in A[S]$, $\operatorname{lm}(g) \in A[S]$. □

We can construct the LBS of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$ from G by the following algorithm which is analogous to (Kredel & Weispfenning, 1988, Corollary 2.2).

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\prec$ be a monomial order in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and G be a Gröbner basis w.r.t. to $\prec$ for an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Algorithm 1 determines the left basic set of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$.*

Algorithm 1 Finding the Left Basic Set of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

Input G, Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ w.r.t. $\prec$
Output S, Left Basic Set of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$.
$S = \phi$, $U = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$
while $U \neq \phi$ **do**
  Select x from U.
  $U = U \setminus \{x\}$
  if $\mathrm{Mon}(A[S] \cup \{x\}) \cap \mathrm{lt}(G) = \phi$ **then**
    $S = S \cup \{x\}$
  end if
end while

Corollary 4.9. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. some lexicographic ordering, $\prec$ and G be its monic short reduced Gröbner basis w.r.t. $\prec$. Let $S \subseteq X$ be a set of indeterminates such that*

$$\mathrm{Mon}(A[S]) \cap \mathrm{lt}(G) = \phi,$$

and S has the largest number of elements among all subsets of X that satisfy the above equation. Then S is maximal independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and $|S| = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$.

Proof. This result is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 4.6. □

The above result gives us an algorithmic technique to determine the combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. It involves computing a Gröbner basis w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering. Given a Noetherian integral domain A, we give below an explicit description of the algorithm to compute the combinatorial dimension of A-algebras, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, that have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering. The correctness of the algorithm follows from Corollary 4.9. It consists of two routines, Algorithm 2 and Algorithm 3, the latter of which is recursive. Algorithm 3 also determines the maximal independent set of indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. This algorithm is along the lines of the algorithm described in (Kredel & Weispfenning, 1988, Section 3).

Algorithm 2 Algorithm for finding the combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ for lexicographic orderings

Input G, short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering, $\prec$,
$X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$
Output c, combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$
$\mathcal{S}$, the maximal set of indeterminates independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$.
if G is not monic **then**
  Exit
end if
$c = 0$, $S = \phi$, $U = X$, $\mathcal{M} = \phi$
{Calls the recursive algorithm}
$\mathcal{M}$ = Algorithm 3$(G, S, U, \mathcal{M})$
$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{M}$
while $\mathcal{M} \neq \phi$ **do**
  Select any M from $\mathcal{M}$
  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \setminus M$
  if $c \leq |M|$ **then**
    $c = |M|$
  end if
end while

Algorithm 3 Recursive algorithm for finding the maximal set of indeterminates independent modulo the ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ for lexicographic orderings

Input G, Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering, $\prec$,
S, set of indeterminates such that $\mathrm{Mon}(S) \cap \mathrm{lt}(G) = \phi$,
U, a subset of the indeterminates set X,
$\mathcal{M}$, a set of already computed maximal sets S' with $\mathrm{Mon}(S') \cap \mathrm{lt}(G) = \phi$.
Output $\mathcal{M}'$, the updated set of maximal set of indeterminates S' with $\mathrm{Mon}(S') \cap \mathrm{lt}(G) = \phi$.
{Finding the maximal independent sets of indeterminates}
$\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}$
while $U \neq \phi$ **do**
  Select u from U
  $U = U \setminus \{u\}$
  if $\mathrm{Mon}(S \cup \{u\}) \cap \mathrm{lt}(G) = \phi$ **then**
    $\mathcal{M}' =$ Algorithm 3$(G, S \cup \{u\}, U, \mathcal{M}')$
  end if
end while
{ Testing if S is already contained in some element of $\mathcal{M}'$}
$\mathcal{M}'' = \mathcal{M}'$, $t = 1$
while $\mathcal{M}'' \neq \phi$ and $t = 1$ **do**
  Select M from $\mathcal{M}''$, $\mathcal{M}'' = \mathcal{M}'' \setminus M$.
  if $S \subseteq M$ **then**
    $t = 0$
  end if
end while
if $t = 1$ **then**
  $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}' \cup \{S\}$
end if

The running time of the algorithm is exactly as that of computing the combinatorial dimension for fields except for the computation of short reduced Gröbner basis. The computation of short reduced Gröbner basis depends on the coefficient ring, A. When $A = \Bbbk$ or $\mathbb{Z}$, the time complexity is doubly exponential (computation of a single Gröbner basis) and when $A = \Bbbk[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$, the complexity is still doubly exponential but involves two Gröbner basis computations, first in $\Bbbk[y_1, \ldots, y_m]$ and then in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

5. Relation between Krull dimension and Combinatorial Dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$

The results we derive in this section will also help us derive a relation between the degree of a Hilbert polynomial and Krull dimension (Section 6.3).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal and M be an A-algebra. Then there exists a canonical injection from $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ to $M[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Let $\mathfrak{a}^e$ represent the*

extension of the ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ *in* $M[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *under the homomorphism. Then we have,*

$$M \otimes_A A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \cong M[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e.$$

Proof. Clearly, $M[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e$ is an A-module. Consider the following operation: for any $f + \mathfrak{a} \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ and $g + \mathfrak{a}^e \in M[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e$, let $(f + \mathfrak{a})(g + \mathfrak{a}^e) = fg + \mathfrak{a}^e$. It is well defined because $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^e$. This implies $M[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e$ is an $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$-module as well. We define the following homomorphism,

$$\phi : A^{(A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}\times M)} \to M[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e$$
$$\phi(\sum_{i\in\Lambda}(a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a}, m_i)) = \sum_{i\in\Lambda}(a_i m_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a}^e).$$

Note that ϕ is A-multilinear. Therefore, there exist the following homomorphisms,

$$\psi : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \to M[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e$$

and

$$\pi : A^{(A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}\times M)} \to A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M$$

such that $\phi = \psi \circ \pi$. Since ϕ is surjective, ψ is surjective too. Consider,

$$\psi(\sum_{i\in\Lambda}(a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A m_i)) = 0.$$

We have,

$$\sum_{i\in\Lambda}(a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A m_i) = \sum_{i\in\Lambda}(x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A a_i m_i).$$

Now, $\pi(\sum_{i\in\Lambda}(x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a}, a_i m_i)) = \sum_{i\in\Lambda}(x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A a_i m_i)$. This implies, $\phi(\sum_{i\in\Lambda}(x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a}, a_i m_i)) = 0$. Since x^{α_i}s are standard monomials, if the sum is equal to zero then each $a_i m_i = 0$. Therefore, $\sum_{i\in\Lambda}(a_i x^{\alpha_i} + \mathfrak{a} \otimes_A m_i) = 0$ and ψ is injective. We have the following isomorphism,

$$M \otimes_A A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \cong M[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e.$$

□

Proposition 5.2. *Let* $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *be an ideal such that it has a monic short reduced Gröbner basis,* $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$*, w.r.t. some monomial order,* $\prec$*. Let* $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq A$ *be a prime ideal and* $k(\mathfrak{p})(= A_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p})$ *be the residue field of* $\mathfrak{p}$*. Consider the ring homomorphism,*

$$\nu : A[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \longrightarrow k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \tag{2}$$

such that $\nu(x_i) = x_i$ *for* $x_i \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ *and for* $a \in A$*,*

$$\nu(a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{p} \\ a & \text{for } a \notin \mathfrak{p}. \end{cases}$$

If $\mathfrak{a}^e$ *is the extension of* $\mathfrak{a}$ *in* $k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ *then* $\nu(G) = \{\nu(g_1), \ldots, \nu(g_t)\}$ *is a Gröbner basis for* $\mathfrak{a}^e$*.*

Proof. If

$$\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1,\ldots,x_n] = \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a}^e),$$

then $\nu(G) = \{\nu(g_1),\ldots,\nu(g_t)\}$ is a Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}^e$ in $k(p)[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. Since G is a monic basis it also follows that $\nu(g), g \in G$ is monic and therefore $\nu(G)$ is a monic Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}^e$. We first show $\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \subseteq \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a}^e)$. This is true for any ring homomorphism (Bayer *et al.*, 1991, Proposition 3.4). It is enough to show that each generator of $\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ belongs to $\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a}^e)$. The generators of $\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ are $\nu(\mathrm{lt}(f))$, $f \in \mathfrak{a}$. For each $f \in \mathfrak{a}$, either $\nu(\mathrm{lt}(f)) = 0$ if $\mathrm{lc}(f) \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $\nu(\mathrm{lt}(f)) = \mathrm{lt}(f) = \mathrm{lt}(\nu(f)) \in \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a}^e)$, if $\mathrm{lc}(f) \notin \mathfrak{p}$.

Let $f \in \mathfrak{a}^e$ and $\mathrm{lt}(f) = cx^\alpha$. We have,

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \nu(g_i)b_i,\ \ b_i \in k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1,\ldots,x_n].$$

We claim that $\mathrm{lt}(g_j) \mid x^\alpha$ for some $j \in \{1,\ldots,t\}$. If not, for each $\mathrm{lt}(g_j)$, $b_j = 0$ since G is a monic short reduced Gröbner basis and $\nu(\mathrm{lt}(g_i)) = \mathrm{lt}(g_i) = \mathrm{lm}(g_i)$. Let $g_j \in G$ be such that $\mathrm{lm}(g_j) \mid x^\alpha$. Therefore, $x^\alpha \in \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $cx^\alpha \in \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$. We have, $\nu(G)$ is a Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}^e$. □

Consider the ring homomorphism,

$$f : A \longrightarrow A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}. \tag{3}$$

We have the corresponding mapping associated with f,

$$f^* : \mathrm{Spec}(A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A). \tag{4}$$

Consider a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in A. The subspace $f^{*-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ of $\mathrm{Spec}(A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$ is naturally homeomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec}(k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$, where $k(\mathfrak{p})$ is the residue field of $\mathfrak{p}$, $A_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p}$ (Atiyah & Macdonald, 1969, Exercise 3.21). That is, we have a homeomorphism between the set of primes of $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ lying over $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$. By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \cong k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e. \tag{5}$$

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a proper ideal in $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ such that it has a monic Gröbner basis w.r.t. some monomial ordering. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal in A and let P be a prime ideal in $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ such that P is maximal among the prime ideals lying over $\mathfrak{p}$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{ht}(P) = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathrm{kdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e),$$

where $k(\mathfrak{p})$ is the residue field of $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{a}^e$ is the extension of the ideal, $\mathfrak{a}$ under the ring homomorphism given by (2).

Proof. Consider the ring homomorphism given in (3),

$$f : A \longrightarrow A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}$ has a monic Gröbner basis w.r.t. some monomial ordering, $A[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is a free A-module. This implies f is a flat homomorphism of Noetherian rings and

therefore we have from (Matsumura, 1980, 13.B Theorem 19),

$$\mathrm{ht}(P) = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathrm{kdim}((A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})_P \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})).$$

To ease the notation, we denote $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ as $\mathcal{A}$. The corresponding prime of $\mathcal{A} \otimes k(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{p}$ is $P\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{A}_\mathfrak{p}$. Let us denote this prime as P^*. Then by (Matsumura, 1980, 13.A) we have that the local ring,

$$(\mathcal{A} \otimes k(\mathfrak{p}))_{P^*} = \mathcal{A}_P \otimes k(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathrm{kdim}(\mathcal{A}_P \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathrm{kdim}((A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})_P \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathrm{ht}(P^*).$$

Consider $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a} \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})$. By (5), it is isomorphic to $k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e$. All maximal ideals in the affine algebra, $k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e$ are of the same height equal to $\mathrm{kdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e)$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{kdim}((A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})_P \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathrm{kdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e),$$

and we have,

$$\mathrm{ht}(P) = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathrm{kdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e).$$

□

5.1. **Krull dimension of A-algebras for lexicographic orderings.**

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that it has a monic short reduced Gröbner basis w.r.t. lexicographic ordering, $\prec$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq A$ be a prime ideal and $k(\mathfrak{p})$ be the residue field of $\mathfrak{p}$ $(= A_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p})$. Let ν be the ring homomorphism as described in Proposition 5.2 and $\mathfrak{a}^e$ be the extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{cdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e) = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}).$$

Proof. Let G be the monic short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering $\prec$. From Proposition 5.2, we have that $\nu(G)$ is a monic Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}^e$ and $\mathrm{lt}(G) = \mathrm{lt}(\nu(G))$. Therefore, the set of indeterminates, $S \subseteq X$ such that $\mathrm{Mon}(A[S]) \cap \mathrm{lt}(G) = \phi$ is the same as the set of indeterminates, $S' \subseteq X$ that satisfy $\mathrm{Mon}(k(\mathfrak{p})[S']) \cap \mathrm{lt}(\nu(G)) = \phi$. Then by Corollary 4.9,

$$\mathrm{cdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e) = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$$

and hence the proof. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a proper ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a lexicographic order, $\prec$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{kdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{kdim}(A) + \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}).$$

Proof. From Proposition 5.4, we have

$$\mathrm{cdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e) = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}).$$

When the coefficient ring is a field, $\mathrm{kdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e) = \mathrm{cdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e)$. This implies that the equation in Proposition 5.3 becomes,

$$\mathrm{ht}(P) = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is a proper ideal with a monic Gröbner basis, the mapping in (4), $f^* : \mathrm{Spec}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$, is surjective and we have,

$$\mathrm{kdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{kdim}(A) + \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}).$$

□

Given a Noetherian integral domain, using Corollary 5.5 we give a Gröbner basis algorithm to compute the Krull dimension of A-algebras, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ that have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering. This is listed in Algorithm 4. This algorithm calls Algorithm 2, which returns the maximal sets of indeterminates independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and the combinatorial dimension of the corresponding A-algebra.

Algorithm 4 Algorithm for finding the Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ for lexicographic orderings

Input G, short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering, $\prec$,
d_A, Krull dimension of the ring, A,
$X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$
Output d, Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.
if G is not monic **then**
  Exit
end if
$c = 0$, $S = \phi$, $t = 0$, $\mathcal{S} = \phi$
{Calls the combinatorial dimension algorithm}
$\mathcal{S}, c =$ Algorithm 2(G, X)
$d = c + d_A$

5.2. **Examples.** We illustrate below examples that compute the Krull dimension of residue class polynomial rings over a Noetherian integral domain, A using combinatorial dimension.

Example 5.6. *Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \langle xy, xz\rangle \subseteq A[x, y, z]$ and the lexicographic ordering $z \prec y \prec x$. Consider the A-algebra, $\mathcal{A} = A[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{a}$. One way to determine the Krull dimension of $\mathcal{A}$ is given below. We have,*

$$\mathrm{kdim}(\mathcal{A}) = \sup\{\mathrm{kdim}(\mathcal{A}/\mathfrak{P}) : \mathfrak{P} \text{ minimal prime}\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a minimal prime of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{p}/\langle xy, xz\rangle$ with $\mathfrak{p}$ prime in $A[x, y, z]$ and minimal over $\langle xy, xz\rangle$. Then either $\mathfrak{p}$ is minimal over $\langle x\rangle$ or minimal over $\langle y, z\rangle$. Also, every minimal prime over $\langle x\rangle$ and $\langle y, z\rangle$ gives rise to a minimal prime of $\mathcal{A}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{kdim}(\mathcal{A}) &= \sup\{(\mathrm{kdim}(A[x, y, z]/\langle y, z\rangle), \mathrm{kdim}(A[x, y, z]/\langle x\rangle)\} \\ &= \mathrm{kdim}(A) + 2.\end{aligned}$$

We can also compute the Krull dimension using the relation we derived in the previous section. The short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$ is $\{xy, xz\}$ and it is monic

and therefore $\mathcal{A}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering. The $\operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ *since $S = \{y, z\}$ is a maximal independent set of indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. Therefore we have,*

$$\operatorname{kdim}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{A}) + \operatorname{kdim}(A) = \operatorname{kdim}(A) + 2.$$

Example 5.7. *Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \langle xy + 1\rangle \subseteq A[x, y]$ and the lexicographic ordering $y \prec x$. One can see that the A-algebra, $\mathcal{A} = A[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$ is isomorphic to the ring of Laurent polynomials with coefficients in A, $A[x^{\pm 1}]$. Therefore, the Krull dimension of* $\mathcal{A} = \operatorname{kdim}(A[x^{\pm 1}]) = \operatorname{kdim}(A) + 1$.

We can use the relation we derived since $\mathcal{A}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. $\prec$. The $\operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ *with $S = \{x\}$ a maximal independent set modulo the ideal. Therefore* $\operatorname{kdim}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{kdim}(A) + 1$.

Example 5.8. *Let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle x^2y + x + 1, y^3 + z + 1\rangle \subseteq A[x, y, z]$ be an ideal. To determine the Krull dimension of the A-algebra, $\mathcal{A} = A[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{a}$, we first compute the Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. the lexicographic ordering, $z \prec y \prec x$. It is given by $\{y^3 + z + 1, x^2z + x^2 - xy^2 - y^2, x^2y + x + 1\}$. It is monic and therefore we can apply the relation we derived. We construct the Left Basic Set w.r.t. $\prec$, $S = \{z\}$. Therefore,* $\operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{A}) = |S| = 1$. *Therefore,* $\operatorname{kdim}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{kdim}(A) + 1$.

Example 5.9. *Let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle x^2+2x+1, y^3+2z+1\rangle \subseteq A[x, y, z]$ be an ideal. The Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. the lexicographic ordering, $z \prec y \prec x$ is $\{x^2 + 2x + 1, y^3 + 2z + 1\}$. It is monic and therefore we can apply the relation we derived to compute the Krull dimension of the A-algebra, $\mathcal{A} = A[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{a}$. The LBS w.r.t. $\prec$, $S = \{z\}$ and therefore,* $\operatorname{cdim}(\mathcal{A}) = |S| = 1$ *and* $\operatorname{kdim}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{kdim}(A) + 1$.

Example 5.10. *Let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle x^2 + zx, y + 6z\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$ be an ideal. The Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. the lexicographic ordering, $z \prec y \prec x$ is $\{x^2 + zx, y + 6z\}$. It is monic and therefore we can apply the relation we derived to compute the Krull dimension of the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra, $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{a}$. The LBS w.r.t. $\prec$, $S = \{z\}$ and therefore,* $\operatorname{cdim}(\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{a}) = |S| = 1$ *and* $\operatorname{kdim}(\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{a}) = 2$.

6. Hilbert Polynomials in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$

6.1. Hilbert function and Hilbert series.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that it has a monic short reduced Gröbner basis, $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$, w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial ordering. We denote $\mathcal{A} = A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. For d a nonnegative integer, we define*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\leq d} = \{f + \mathfrak{a} : f \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n], \deg(f) \leq d\}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$ is a finitely generated, free A-module.

Proof. Let a basis for $\mathcal{A}$ be given by the set, $\mathcal{B} = \{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : \operatorname{lm}(g_i) \nmid x^\alpha\}$. Consider the following set, $\mathcal{B}^{(d)} = \{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} : x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{B}, \deg(x^\alpha) \leq d\}$.

Claim 2. *$\mathcal{B}^{(d)}$ is an A-module basis for $\mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$.*

Clearly, $\mathcal{B}^{(d)}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$. Consider $f + \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$. Since $\deg(f) \leq d$ and we have a degree compatible ordering, $\mathrm{lt}(f) \leq d$. This implies that $f + \mathfrak{a}$ can be written as $\sum_{x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{B}^{(d)}} a_i(x^\alpha + \mathfrak{a})$, $a_i \in A$. Thus, $\mathcal{B}^{(d)}$ generates $\mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$. $\mathcal{B}^{(d)}$ is linearly independent since it is a subset of the basis, $\mathcal{B}$. We have, therefore, that $\mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$ is free and finitely generated. □

We refer to the size of $\mathcal{B}^{(d)}$ as the free rank of $\mathcal{A}_{\leq d}$ and it is denoted as $\mathrm{FreeRank}_A(\mathcal{A}_{\leq d})$. Note that any two bases for a free module over a commutative ring have the same cardinality.

Consider $\mathcal{A} = A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ such that it has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial ordering. We define the Hilbert function, $h_\mathfrak{a} : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as

$$h_\mathfrak{a}(d) = \mathrm{FreeRank}_A(\mathcal{A}_{\leq d}).$$

The formal power series

$$H_\mathfrak{a}(t) = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} h_\mathfrak{a}(d) t^d \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$$

is called the Hilbert series of $\mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem 6.2. *If $\mathfrak{a} = \langle f \rangle \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n], f \neq 0$ is a principal ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is free, then*

$$H_\mathfrak{a}(t) = \frac{1 - t^{\deg(f)}}{(1-t)^{n+1}} \quad \text{if } f \neq 0$$
$$H_\mathfrak{a}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{n+1}} \quad \text{if } f = 0.$$

Proof. The proof is along similar lines as over fields (Kemper, 2011, Proposition 11.4). □

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering. Then,*

$$H_\mathfrak{a}(t) = H_{\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})}(t).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ and $G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ be a short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t a degree compatible ordering. Consider the following map for a specific set of coset representatives $C_{J_{x^\alpha}}$, $x^\alpha \in \mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$, in A.

$$\phi : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$$
$$g + \mathfrak{a} \longmapsto \eta_G(g).$$

The map is well defined (Adams & Loustaunau, 1994, Lemma 4.3.3.). For every $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have the restriction map,

$$\phi_d : \mathcal{A}_{\leq d} \to A[x_1, \ldots, x_n].$$

Let $V_d \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the submodule spanned by all the monomials t with degree $\leq d$ and $t \notin \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$. Since all $f \in V_d$ are in the normal form w.r.t. G, we get $f = \eta_G(f) = \phi_d(f + \mathfrak{a})$. Therefore, $V_d \subseteq im(\phi_d)$, the image of ϕ_d. Let $f \in im(\phi_d)$.

This implies $f = \eta_G(g)$ for some polynomial $g \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $\mathrm{Mon}(f) \notin \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$. We have that the degree of each monomial in f is less than d since the ordering is degree compatible. Therefore, $f \in V_d$ and $h_{\mathfrak{a}}(d) = \mathrm{FreeRank}(V_d)$. Note that the definition of V_d depends only on the leading term ideal and therefore two ideals with the same leading term ideal will have the same Hilbert series. □

The following results will help us give a Gröbner basis algorithm to determine the Hilbert series of an ideal. The proofs are straightforward and therefore we omit the details.

Proposition 6.4. *The ideal, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is homogeneous if and only if the short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ is homogeneous.*

Proposition 6.5. *Let I and J be two monomial ideals such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$ and $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/J$ have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a monomial order. Then $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I \cap J$ and $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I + J$ also have a free A-module representation w.r.t. the same monomial order.*

Proposition 6.6. *Let I, J be monomial ideals such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$ and $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/J$ have a free A-module representation w.r.t a monomial order. Then, $H_{I+J}(t) + H_{I\cap J}(t) = H_I(t) + H_J(t)$.*

Proof. Let $I = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_t \rangle$ and $J = \langle g_{t+1}, \ldots, g_{m+t} \rangle$ be the monic short reduced Gröbner bases with respect to which $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I$ and $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/J$ have free A-module representations. For any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, we denote $\mathfrak{a}_{\leq d}$ as the set of all elements of the ideal with degree $\leq d$. Any element, $f \in (I + J)_{\leq d}$ can be written as $f = \sum_{i=1}^{m+t} h_i g_i$, where $h_i \in A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Since $\deg(f) \leq d$ and each g_i is a monomial, the equation is valid even when we remove parts of h_i that are of degree $\gneq (d - \deg(g_i))$. Therefore, the following map is surjective.

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\leq d} &\to (I + J)_{\leq d}/J_{\leq d}, \\ f &\mapsto f + J_{\leq d}. \end{aligned}$$

The kernel of the map is $(I \cap J)_{\leq d}$. We therefore have,

$$I_{\leq d}/(I \cap J)_{\leq d} \cong (I + J)_{\leq d}/J_{\leq d}.$$

Since the short reduced Gröbner bases of $I, J, I + J, I \cap J$ are monic, the A-modules in the above congruence are free and therefore the following equation holds.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{FreeRank}_A(I_{\leq d}) - \mathrm{FreeRank}_A(I \cap J)_{\leq d} \\ &= \mathrm{FreeRank}_A(I + J)_{\leq d} - \mathrm{FreeRank}_A J_{\leq d}. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathrm{FreeRank}_A A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_{\leq d} - \mathrm{FreeRank}_A(I_{\leq d})) \\ &+ (\mathrm{FreeRank}_A A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_{\leq d} - \mathrm{FreeRank}_A(J_{\leq d})) = \\ &(\mathrm{FreeRank}_A A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_{\leq d} - \mathrm{FreeRank}_A(I \cap J)_{\leq d}) + \\ &(\mathrm{FreeRank}_A A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_{\leq d} - \mathrm{FreeRank}_A(I + J)_{\leq d}). \end{aligned}$$

We then have the desired result. □

Algorithm 5 gives a Gröbner basis method to calculate the Hilbert Series of an ideal in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$.

Algorithm 5 Computing the Hilbert series of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ when $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial ordering.

Input A degree compatible monomial ordering $\prec$,
$G = \{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$, a monic short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ based on the ordering, $\prec$.
Output Hilbert Series $H_{\mathfrak{a}}(t)$.
Let $m_1, \ldots, m_s$ be the leading monomials of G.
if $s = 0$ **then**
Return $H_{\mathfrak{a}}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{n+1}}$.
else
$J = \langle m_2, \cdots, m_s \rangle$ and
$J^{'} = \langle \mathrm{lcm}(m_1, m_2), \cdots, \mathrm{lcm}(m_1, m_s) \rangle$.
Compute $H_J(t)$ and $H_{J'}(t)$ by a recursive call of the algorithm.
Return

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}(t) = \frac{1 - t^{\deg(m_1)}}{(1-t)^{n+1}} + H_J(t) - H_{J'}(t).$$

end if

Proposition 6.7. *Algorithm 5 terminates after finitely many steps and calculates $H_{\mathfrak{a}}(t)$ correctly.*

Proof. With each recursive call the value of s decreases and this ensures termination. We have $\mathfrak{a}^{'} = \langle m_1, \cdots, m_s \rangle = \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$. By Theorem 6.3, since we have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial ordering, the Hilbert series of $\mathfrak{a}^{'}$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ are equal. Therefore, the proof will be complete if we show that the algorithm computes the Hilbert series of $\mathfrak{a}^{'}$ correctly. We use induction. For $s = 0$, by Theorem 6.2 we have that the Hilbert series is computed correctly. For $s \gneq 0$, the algorithm is correct since the ideals, J and $J^{'}$ are monic monomial ideals. It can be easily seen that $J^{'} = J \cap \langle m_1 \rangle$ (Kemper, 2011, Theorem 11.9). We have, $\mathfrak{a}^{'} = J + \langle m_1 \rangle$. Therefore by Proposition 6.6,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{a}'}(t) &= H_{\langle m_1 \rangle}(t) + H_J(t) - H_{J'}(t), \\ &= \frac{1 - t^{\deg(m_1)}}{(1-t)^{n+1}} + H_J(t) - H_{J'}(t). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the correctness of the algorithm. □

The Hilbert-Serre theorem follows as a natural consequence of the above algorithm.

Theorem 6.8 (Hilbert-Serre theorem)**.** *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering. Then the Hilbert series of the ideal has the form,*

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}(t) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_k t^k}{(1-t)^{n+1}},$$

with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the Hilbert function $h_{\mathfrak{a}}(d)$ is a polynomial for large d. The polynomial,

$$p_{\mathfrak{a}} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i C(x + n - i, n) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

called the Hilbert polynomial satisfies $h_{\mathfrak{a}}(d) = p_{\mathfrak{a}}(d)$ for sufficiently large integer, d.

Whenever the A-module, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial ordering all the properties of Hilbert functions for affine $\Bbbk$-algebras, hold here as well.

6.2. **Relation between Hilbert polynomials and combinatorial dimension.** We first show that given a free A-module, $A[x_1, \ldots, n]/\mathfrak{a}$ with a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering, the degree of the Hilbert polynomial is equal to its combinatorial dimension. This equality will aid us in giving a relation between Krull dimension and degree of a Hilbert polynomial for degree compatible monomial orderings.

Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial ordering. Since a free A-module representation implies monic leading terms and Theorem 6.3 ensures that $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$ have the same Hilbert function, it is enough to study Hilbert functions for monomial ideals. In a study of monomial ideals in general, it does not make sense to specify a degree compatible monomial ordering. So in this section for a monomial ideal, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, we will only specify that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t some monomial order, $\prec$. Note that when we look at the monomial ideal as a leading term ideal of an arbitrary ideal, $\mathcal{I}$ then $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathcal{I}$ needs to have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering and the monomial ideal under consideration will be $\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})(= \mathrm{lm}(\mathfrak{a}))$.

We prove the equivalence of the combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ and the degree of Hilbert polynomial of $\mathfrak{a}$ using the concept of translate (O'Shea *et al.*, 2007, Section 2, Chapter 9).

Definition 5. For each monomial ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, let

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{u \in \mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]) : u \notin \mathfrak{a}\}$$

be the set of standard monomials of $\mathfrak{a}$.

For any two sets $S_1, S_2 \subseteq \mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ define the product as $S_1 S_2 = \{u \cdot v : u \in S_1, v \in S_2\}$.

Definition 6. For every integer $r \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, every set of indeterminates $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_r}\} \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, and every $u \in \mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$, we refer to the set of monomials,

$$\{u\} \cdot \mathrm{Mon}(A[x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_r}])$$

as a translate of dimension r. Also, by convention every singleton set $\{u\} \subseteq \mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ is called a translate of dimension 0.

Theorem 6.9. *If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a monomial ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial ordering, then $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a})$ can be written as a finite disjoint union of translates.*

Proof. The proof goes along the same lines as (Winkler, 2010, Theorem 5.3). □

Lemma 6.10. *Let $u \in \mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n])$ and $t = \deg(u)$.*

(i) The number of monomials of degree $\leq s$ in the translate $\{u\}\cdot\mathrm{Mon}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_m])$ is equal to the binomial coefficient, $C(m + s - t, s - t)$, provided $s \geq t$.
(ii) For $s \geq t$, the number of monomials is a polynomial function of s of degree m and the coefficient of s^m is $1/m!$.

Proof. The proof goes along the same lines as (Winkler, 2010, Theorem 5.5). □

Theorem 6.11. *If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a proper monomial ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. some monomial order, then for all s sufficiently large, the number of monomials not in $\mathfrak{a}$ of degree $\leq s$ is a polynomial of degree d in s. This degree, d is equal to the combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. Also, the coefficient of s^d in the polynomial is positive.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.9, we can write $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{C}_r$. For $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and a non-negative integer s we denote the number of monomials in $\mathcal{C}_j$ of degree $\leq s$ by $c_j(s)$ and the number of monomials in $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a})$ of degree $\leq s$ by $c(s)$. Because we have a disjoint union,

$$c(s) = c_1(s) + \cdots + c_r(s).$$

From Lemma 6.10, we have for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ there exists a non-negative integer t_j and a univariate polynomial $p_j(x) = a_{m_j}x^{m_j} + \cdots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, such that

(i) $c_j(s) = p_j(s)$ for every $s \geq t_j$,
(ii) m_j is the dimension of the translate $\mathcal{C}_j$ and $a_{m_j} = 1/m_j!$.

Let $t^* = \max(t_1, \ldots, t_r)$ and m^* be the maximal dimension of the translates $\mathcal{C}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_r$. Clearly, for $s \geq t^*$, the function c is given by a polynomial of degree m^* and the coefficient of s^{m^*} in this polynomial is positive.

Now we need to show that $m^* = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$. Let $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}\}$ be a set of independent indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. Obviously, $\mathrm{Mon}(A[x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}]) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a})$. Hence, by Lemma 6.10, $k \leq m^*$. Since $\mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$ is the maximal cardinality of any set of independent indeterminates, $\mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) \leq m^*$. Consider the translate $\{u\}\cdot\mathrm{Mon}(A[x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}]) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a})$. Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal, we obtain $\mathrm{Mon}(A[x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}]) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{a})$ which implies that $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}\}$ is a set of independent indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) \geq m^*$. □

It can be easily seen from the definition of Hilbert functions and translates that the function, $c(s)$ in the above theorem is the same as the Hilbert function. The degree of the Hilbert polynomial is therefore m^*. So far, we have shown that given a monomial ideal, $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. some monomial ordering, the degree of the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathfrak{a}$ is the same as the combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. We have also seen in Theorem 6.3 that if $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering, then the Hilbert functions of both the ideal and the leading term ideal are the same. We will now show that for any arbitrary ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, $\operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$ is equal to the degree of the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem 6.12. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a proper ideal such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering, $\prec$. Then,* $\operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$ *equals the degree of the Hilbert polynomial of $\mathfrak{a}$.*

Proof. Let d denote the combinatorial dimension of $\mathfrak{a}$. Let the set $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_d}\}$ be a set of independent indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ of maximal cardinality. Let s be a non-negative integer. From Theorem 6.1, we have that $\operatorname{Mon}(A[x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_d}])_{\leq s}$ is a linearly independent set of $\mathcal{A}_{\leq s}$. By Lemma 6.10, $C(d+s, s) \leq h_{\mathfrak{a}}(s)$. Since the binomial coefficient is a polynomial function in s of degree d, the $\operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$ is at most the degree of the Hilbert polynomial.

Let $\operatorname{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$ be the leading term ideal of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$. If $S = \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}\} \subseteq \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is not independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$, then there exists a non-zero polynomial, $f \in \mathfrak{a} \cap A[x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}]$. We have, $\operatorname{lm}(f) \in \operatorname{lt}(\mathfrak{a}) \cap A[x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}]$. This implies S is not independent modulo $\operatorname{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$. Therefore, the set of independent indeterminates modulo $\operatorname{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a subset of the set of independent indeterminates modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\operatorname{lt}(\mathfrak{a})) \leq \operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$. By Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.11, we have that the degree of the Hilbert polynomial is at most $\operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$. □

This corollary directly follows.

Corollary 6.13. *Given a proper ideal, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering. If S is a set of maximal cardinality of indeterminates that are independent modulo* $\operatorname{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$*, then S is a set of maximal cardinality of indeterminates that are independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$. Also,*

$$\operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\operatorname{lt}(\mathfrak{a})).$$

Since the combinatorial dimension of the ideal and its leading term ideal are the same, we explore its connections with Gröbner basis.

Theorem 6.14. *Let $\prec$ be a degree compatible monomial ordering in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that given a proper ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. $\prec$. Let G be a monic short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$. Let $S \subseteq X$ be a set of indeterminates such that it is the largest subset of X that satisfies $A[S] \cap \operatorname{lt}(G) = \phi$. Then, S is maximal independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and* $\operatorname{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) = |S|$.

Proof. We first show that S is independent modulo $\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$. If not, then there exists a $f \in A[S] \cap \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$. Since G is a monic Gröbner basis and $\mathfrak{a}$ a proper ideal, there exists $g \in G$ such that $\mathrm{lt}(g)(= \mathrm{lm}(g)) \mid f$. Therefore, $A[S] \cap \mathrm{lt}(G) \neq \phi$, a contradiction. To prove maximality, consider $S \cup \{x\}$ to be maximal independent modulo $\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$. By our hypothesis, there exists $f \in A[S \cup \{x\}] \cap \mathrm{lt}(G)$ and therefore $f \in A[S \cup \{x\}] \cap \mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a})$, a contradiction and hence S is maximal. Also, $|S| = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathrm{lt}(\mathfrak{a}))$. By Corollary 6.13, S is maximal independent modulo $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) = |S|$. □

6.3. **Krull dimension of A-algebras for degree compatible orderings.** In the case of A-algebras with a free A-module representation w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering, we have seen that $\mathrm{cdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e) = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$ (Proposition 5.4). This is true in the case of A-algebras with a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial ordering as well.

Proposition 6.15. *Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal such that it has a monic short reduced Gröbner basis w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering, $\prec$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq A$ be a prime ideal and $k(\mathfrak{p})$ be the residue field of $\mathfrak{p}$ $(= A_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p})$. Let ν be the ring homomorphism as described in Proposition 5.2 and $\mathfrak{a}^e$ be the extension of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Then,*

$$\mathrm{cdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e) = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}).$$

Proof. Let G be the monic short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$. From Proposition 5.2, we have that $\nu(G)$ is a monic Gröbner basis for $\mathfrak{a}^e$ and $\mathrm{lt}(G) = \mathrm{lt}(\nu(G))$. Therefore, the set of indeterminates, $S \subseteq X$ such that $\mathrm{Mon}(A[S]) \cap \mathrm{lt}(G) = \phi$ is the same as the set of indeterminates, $S' \subseteq X$ that satisfy $\mathrm{Mon}(k(\mathfrak{p})[S']) \cap \mathrm{lt}(\nu(G)) = \phi$. Then by Theorem 6.14,

$$\mathrm{cdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e) = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$$

and hence the proof. □

Corollary 6.16. *Let $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ be a finitely generated A-algebra such that it has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering, $\prec$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{kdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) &= \mathrm{kdim}(A) + \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) \\ &= \mathrm{kdim}(A) + \deg(p_\mathfrak{a}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof goes along the same lines as Proposition 5.4. From Proposition 6.15, we have

$$\mathrm{cdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e) = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}).$$

When the coefficient ring is a field, $\mathrm{kdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e) = \mathrm{cdim}(k(\mathfrak{p})[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}^e)$. This implies that the equation in Proposition 5.3 becomes,

$$\mathrm{ht}(P) = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{a}$ is a proper ideal with a monic Gröbner basis, the mapping in (4), $f^* : \mathrm{Spec}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(A)$, is surjective and we have,

$$\mathrm{kdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{kdim}(A) + \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}).$$

Since by Theorem 6.12, $\deg(p_\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{cdim}(A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a})$, we have the result. □

We give below an algorithm (Algorithm 6) to compute the Krull dimension of certain A-algebras, $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, that have a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible ordering. The correctness of the algorithm follows from Corollary 6.16.

Algorithm 6 Algorithm for finding the Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ for degree compatible orderings

Input G, short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial ordering, $\prec$,
d_A, Krull dimension of A,
Output d, Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.
if G is not monic **then**
  Exit
end if
{Calls the Hilbert Serre algorithm}
$H_{\mathfrak{a}}(t) =$ Algorithm $5(G, \prec)$
{ $H_{\mathfrak{a}}(t)$ is of the form $\frac{a_0+a_1t+\cdots+a_kt^k}{(1-t)^{n+1}}$}
$p_{\mathfrak{a}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} a_i C(x+n-i, n)$
$k = \deg(p_{\mathfrak{a}})$
$d = k + d_A$

6.4. **Examples.** We give below examples that compute the Krull dimension of residue class polynomial rings over a Noetherian integral domain, A using Hilbert polynomials.

Example 6.17. *Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \langle xy, xz\rangle \subseteq A[x, y, z]$ and the deglex ordering with $z \prec y \prec x$. Consider the A-algebra, $\mathcal{A} = A[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{a}$. The short reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ w.r.t. $\prec$ is $\{xy, xz\}$ and it is monic. Therefore $\mathcal{A}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. a degree compatible monomial ordering. By using the recursive algorithm, Algorithm 5, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{a}}(t) &= \frac{-t^2+t+1}{(1-t)^3} \\ &= 1 + 4t + 8t^2 + 13t^3 + \cdots \\ p_{\mathfrak{a}}(x) &= x^2 + 5x + 2. \\ \deg(p_{\mathfrak{a}}) &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 6.16, we have,

$$\operatorname{kdim}(\mathcal{A}) = \deg(p_{\mathfrak{a}}) + \operatorname{kdim}(A) = \operatorname{kdim}(A) + 2.$$

Example 6.18. *Consider the ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \langle xy + 1\rangle \subseteq A[x, y]$ and deglex ordering with $y \prec x$. We determine below the Krull dimension of the A-algebra, $\mathcal{A} = A[x, y]/\mathfrak{a}$.*

We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{a}}(t) &= \frac{1-t^2}{(1-t)^3} \\ &= 1 + 3t + 5t^2 + 7t^3 + 9t^4 + \cdots \\ p_{\mathfrak{a}}(x) &= 2x + 1. \\ \deg(p_{\mathfrak{a}}) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{kdim}(\mathcal{A}) = \operatorname{kdim}(A) + 1$.

Example 6.19. *Let* $\mathfrak{a} = \langle x^2 + zx, y + 6z \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$ *be an ideal. The Gröbner basis of* $\mathfrak{a}$ *w.r.t. the deglex ordering,* $z \prec y \prec x$ *is* $\{x^2 + zx, y + 6z\}$. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{a}}(t) &= \frac{t^3 - t^2 - t + 1}{(1-t)^4} \\ &= 1 + 3t + 5t^2 + 7t^3 + \cdots \\ p_{\mathfrak{a}}(x) &= 2x + 1. \\ \deg(p_{\mathfrak{a}}) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{kdim}(\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]/\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{kdim}(\mathbb{Z}) + 1 = 2$.

Concluding Remarks

As we can see from the examples given in this paper, to determine the Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, previously, one had to exploit the individual properties of each ideal. In this paper, we derived a relation between combinatorial dimension and Krull dimension that gives us an algorithmic method to compute the Krull dimension of the A-algebra provided it has a free A-module representation w.r.t. either a lexicographic or degree compatible monomial order. A natural question to ask is can we have the similar relation for other monomial orders. For polynomial rings over fields, the relation for all monomial orders is proved using (Carrà Ferro, 1987, Theorem 3.1). An affirmative answer seems likely for $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ as well but we have yet to have a formal proof.

In (Kredel & Weispfenning, 1988), the authors conjecture that for a prime ideal, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, any maximal set of indeterminates strongly independent mod $\mathfrak{a}$ is also maximal independent mod $\mathfrak{a}$ and hence determines the dimension of $\Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$. The conjecture was shown to be true in (Kalkbrener & Sturmfels, 1995). We conjecture the same for prime ideals in $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. In this paper, for a Noetherian integral domain, A we have shown that the maximal strongly independent set of indeterminates constructed from the left basic set w.r.t. a lexicographic ordering is also maximal independent mod $\mathfrak{a}$ and equal to the combinatorial dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$, when $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ has a free A-module representation w.r.t. the ordering. We conjecture that for a prime ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, any maximal set of indeterminates strongly independent mod $\mathfrak{a}$ is also maximal independent mod $\mathfrak{a}$. If this is true, then the cardinality of such a set determines the combinatorial and Krull dimension of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$.

References

Adams, W. & Loustaunau, P. (1994). *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*. American Mathematical Society.

Atiyah, M. & Macdonald, I. G. (1969). *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*. Addison-Wesley Series in Mathematics.

Bayer, D., Galligo, A. & Stillman, M. (1991). Gröbner Bases and Extension of Scalars. In: *Proceedings Comput. Algebraic Geom. and Commut. Algebra, Cortona, Italy*.

Carrà Ferro, G. (1987). Some Properties of the Lattice Points and Their Application to Differential Algebra. *Communications in Algebra* **15**(12), 2625–2632.

Francis, M. & Dukkipati, A. (2014). On Reduced Gröbner Basis and Macaulay-Buchberger Basis Theorem over Noetherian Rings. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **65**, 1–14.

Kalkbrener, M. & Sturmfels, B. (1995). Initial Complexes of Prime Ideals. *Advances in Mathematics* **116**(2), 365 – 376.

Kemper, G. (2011). *A Course in Commutative Algebra*. Springer Verlag.

Kredel, H. & Weispfenning, V. (1988). Computing Dimension and Independent Sets for Polynomial Ideals. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **6**(2 - 3), 231 – 247.

Kreuzer, M. & Robbiano, L. (2005). *Computational Commutative Algebra 2*. Springer.

Matsumura, H. (1980). *Commutative Algebra, 2nd Edition*. Benjamin-Cummings Pub Co.

Mora, F. & Möller, H. M. (1983). The Computation of the Hilbert Function. In: *Computer Algebra, EUROCAL '83* (van Hulzen, J. A., ed.), vol. 162 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer.

O'Shea, D., Little, J. B. & Cox, D. A. (2007). *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms: an Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*. Springer.

Pauer, F. (2007). Gröbner Bases with Coefficients in Rings. *Journal of Symbolic Computation* **42**(11-12).

Winkler, F. (2010). Gröbner Bases - Theory and Applications. 5th Science Training School in Symbolic Computation, RISC, Univ.Linz. URL `https://www.risc.jku.at/projects/science/school/fifth/materials/GB.pdf`.

E-mail address: `mariaf@csa.iisc.ernet.in, ad@csa.iisc.ernet.in`

Dept. of Computer Science & Automation, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore - 560012